DAVID J. MEYER

VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL FOR

REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

AVISTA CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 3727

1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE

SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727

TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316 FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851 DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	CASE NO. AVU-E-17-01
OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE)	CASE NO. AVU-G-17-01
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES)	
AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND)	
NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC)	DIRECT TESTIMONY
AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE)	OF
STATE OF IDAHO)	PATRICK D. EHRBAR

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS)

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, business address and
- 3 present position with Avista Corporation?

- 4 A. My name is Patrick D. Ehrbar and my business address
- 5 is 1411 East Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington. I am
- 6 presently assigned to the State and Federal Regulation
- 7 Department as Senior Manager of Rates and Tariffs.
- 8 Q. Would you briefly describe your educational
- 9 background and professional experience?
- 10 A. Yes. I am a 1995 graduate of Gonzaga University with
- 11 a Bachelors degree in Business Administration. In 1997 I
- 12 graduated from Gonzaga University with a Masters degree in
- 13 Business Administration. I started with Avista in April 1997
- 14 as a Resource Management Analyst in the Company's Demand Side
- 15 Management (DSM) department. Later, I became a Program
- 16 Manager, responsible for energy efficiency program offerings
- for the Company's educational and governmental customers. In
- 18 2000, I was selected to be one of the Company's key Account
- 19 Executives. In this role I was responsible for, among other
- 20 things, being the primary point of contact for numerous
- 21 commercial and industrial customers, including delivery of
- the Company's site specific energy efficiency programs.
- 23 I joined the State and Federal Regulation Department as
- 24 a Senior Regulatory Analyst in 2007. Responsibilities in that

- 1 role included being the discovery coordinator for the
- 2 Company's rate cases, line extension policy tariffs, as well
- 3 as miscellaneous regulatory issues. In November 2009, I was
- 4 promoted to Manager of Rates and Tariffs, and later promoted
- 5 to be Senior Manager of Rates and Tariffs. My primary areas
- 6 of responsibility include electric and natural gas rate
- 7 design, decoupling, power cost and natural gas rate
- 8 adjustments, customer usage and revenue analysis, and tariff
- 9 administration.
- 10 Q. What is the scope of your testimony in this
- 11 proceeding?
- 12 A. My testimony in this proceeding will cover the
- 13 spread of the proposed 2018 and 2019 electric and natural gas
- 14 revenue increases among the Company's electric and natural
- 15 gas general service schedules. My testimony will also describe
- 16 the changes to the rates within the Company's electric and
- 17 natural gas service schedules.
- 18 Q. Would you please provide an overview of the
- 19 Company's electric and natural gas rate requests?
- 20 A. Yes. As discussed by Company witness Mr. Morris,
- 21 the Company is proposing a Two-Year Rate Plan for calendar
- years 2018 and 2019, with proposed increases effective January
- 23 1 of each year. The Company is proposing a Two-Year Rate
- 24 Plan, to once again, avoid annual rate cases in its Idaho

- 1 jurisdiction, providing benefits to all stakeholders. A Two-
- 2 Year Rate Plan, with increases in 2018 and 2019, would provide
- 3 benefits to its customers by providing a level of rate
- 4 predictability to customers over this two-year period. A two-
- 5 year window also provides Avista with the opportunity to
- 6 manage its business in order to achieve a fair rate of return
- 7 within known price changes. Finally, relief is provided to
- 8 all stakeholders (customers, the Commission and its Staff,
- 9 intervenors, and the Company) from the administrative burdens
- 10 and costs of litigation of annual general rate cases.
- 11 Accordingly, the Company has filed two sets of tariffs
- 12 for each of the electric and natural gas service schedules.
- 13 The first tariff for each rate schedule provides for an
- 14 effective date of July 9, 2017; however, in the Company's
- 15 Application in this case, Avista has requested that the
- 16 tariffs related to the 2018 rate request be suspended with a
- 17 proposed effective date of January 1, 2018. The second set of
- 18 tariffs filed for each of the electric and natural gas service
- 19 schedules have an effective date of January 1, 2019,
- 20 consistent with the Company's second-step increase proposal.
- 21 Provided below in Table Nos. 1 & 2 is a summary of the
- 22 proposed increase, by rate schedule, on a billing basis
- 23 (inclusive of all base and billing rate components, including

- 1 the effect of the new and expiring electric rebates discussed
- 2 later in my testimony):

Rate Schedule

General Service

Pumping Service

Total

Street & Area Lights

Residential Service

Large General Service

Extra Large General Service

Extra Large General Service 25P

Table No. 1 - 2018 & 2019 Electric Rate Request by Schedule

Description

Schedule 25

Schedule 25P

Schedules 11 & 12

Schedules 21 & 22

Schedules 31 & 32

Schedules 41 - 49

Schedule 1

2018 Billing

Increase

8.1%

7.5%

8.2%

7.7%

7.2%

8.8%

7.5%

7.9%

2019 Billing

Increase 4.3%

4.0%

4.4%

4.3%

4.1%

4.6%

3.8%

4.2%

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

Table No. 2 - 2018 & 2019 Natural Gas Rate Request by Schedule

1213

14

15

16

17

11

Table 2: 2018 & 2019 Nat			
Rate Schedule	Description	2018 Billing Increase	2019 Billing Increase
General Service	Schedule 101	6.6%	3.8%
Large General Service	Schedules 111 & 112	2.2%	1.3%
Interruptible Service	Schedules 131 & 132	0.0%	0.0%
Transportation Service	Schedule 146*	9.2%	5.0%
Total		5.7%	3.3%
* excludes commodity and	interstate pipeline transportat	ion costs	

18

19

20

Q. Are you sponsoring any Exhibits that accompany your testimony?

- 21 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No. 16, Schedules 1
- 22 through 3 related to the proposed electric increase, and
- 23 Schedules 4 through 6 related to the proposed natural gas
- 24 increase. These exhibits were prepared under my supervision.
- 25 A table of contents for my testimony is as follows:

1	<u> Table</u>	of Contents	Page
	_		4
2	I.	Introduction	1
3 4	II.	Droposed Floatria Dovenue Ingresse	5
5	11.	Proposed Electric Revenue Increase Summary of Rate Schedules and Tariffs	5
6		=	
7		Proposed Rate Spread (Increase by Schedul	- /
8		Proposed Rate Design (Rates within Schedu	ies) ii
9	III.	Proposed Natural Gas Revenue Increase	24
10	T T T •	Summary of Rate Schedules and Tariffs	25
11		Proposed Rate Spread (Increase by Schedul	
12		Proposed Rate Design (Rates within Schedu	•
13		rroposed Nace Design (Naces within Schedu	163) 30
10			
14		II. PROPOSED ELECTRIC REVENUE INCREASE	
15	Summary o	of Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs	
16	Q.	Would you please explain what is cont	cained in
17	Schedule	1 of Exhibit No. 16?	
18	Α.	Yes. Schedule 1 is a copy of the Company'	s present
19	and propo	osed electric tariffs for 2018 and 2019, sh	owing the
2.0	ala a na a a		£:1:
20	changes ((strikeout and underline) proposed in this	TITING.
21	Q.	Would you please describe what is con-	tained in
0.0			
22	Schedule	2 of Exhibit No. 16?	
23	Α.	Yes. Schedule 2 contains the proposed	d (clean)
24	electric	tariff sheets for 2018 and 2019 incorpor	ating the
25	proposed	changes included in this filing.	
0.6			
26	Q.	What is contained in Schedule 3 of Exhibi	t No. 16?
27	Α.	Schedule 3 contains information regar	ding the
<u> </u>	Α.	Schedule 5 contains information legal	aring the
28	proposed	spread of the electric revenue increase	amona the
	Proposed	of the chief of the following find the cube	
29	service s	chedules and the proposed changes to the rat	tes within
		1 1 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
30	the sched	dules. Page 1 shows the 2018 and 2019	proposed

- 1 general revenue and percentage increases by rate schedule
- 2 compared to the present revenue under base tariff and billing
- 3 rates. Page 2 shows the rates of return and the relative
- 4 rates of return for each of the schedules before and after
- 5 application of the proposed 2018 general increase. Pages 3
- 6 and 4 show the present rates under each of the rate schedules,
- 7 the proposed changes to the rates within the schedules, and
- 8 the proposed rates after application of the 2018 and 2019 rate
- 9 changes. These pages will be referred to later in my
- 10 testimony.
- 11 Q. Would you please describe the Company's present
- 12 rate schedules and the types of electric service offered under
- 13 each?
- 14 A. Yes. The Company presently provides electric
- 15 service under Residential Service Schedule 1, General Service
- 16 Schedules 11 and 12, Large General Service Schedules 21 and
- 17 22, Extra Large General Service under Schedule 25 and Schedule
- 18 25P (Clearwater Paper's Lewiston Plant), and Pumping Service
- 19 Schedules 31 and 32. Additionally, the Company provides
- 20 Street Lighting Service under Schedules 41-46, and Area
- 21 Lighting Service under Schedules 47-49. Schedules 12, 22,
- 22 32, and 48 cover residential and farm service customers who
- 23 qualify for the Residential Exchange Program operated by the
- 24 Bonneville Power Administration. The rates for these

- 1 schedules are identical to the rates for Schedules 11, 21,
- 2 31, and 47, respectively, except for the Residential Exchange
- 3 rate credit.
- 4 The following table shows the type and number of
- 5 customers served in Idaho (as of December 2016) under each of
- 6 the electric service schedules:

Table No. 3 - Customers by Service Schedule

8	Rate Schedule	No. of Customers
0	Residential Schedule 1	105,700
9	General Service Schedules 11/12	21,114
10	Large General Service Schedules 21/22	1,125
	Extra Large General Service Schedule 25	11
11	Clearwater Paper Schedule 25P	1
	Pumping Service Schedules 31/32	1,403
12		

13 Proposed Electric Rate Spread

- 14 Q. For 2018, what is the proposed electric revenue
- 15 increase, and how is the Company proposing to spread the
- 16 increase by rate schedule?
- 17 A. For 2018, the proposed electric increase is
- 18 \$18,571,000, or 7.5% over present base tariff rates in effect.
- 19 The proposed general increase over present billing rates,
- 20 including all other rate adjustments (such as DSM and
- 21 Residential Exchange), is 7.9%. The proposed percentage
- 22 increase by rate schedule is as follows:

1 Table No. 4 - Proposed % Electric Increase by Schedule - 2018

2.		Increase in Base	Increase in
_	Rate Schedule	Rates	Billing Rates
3	Residential Schedule 1	7.8%	8.1%
	General Service Schedules 11/12	7.2%	7.5%
4	Large General Service Schedules 21/22	7.8%	8.2%
_	Extra Large General Service Schedule 25	7.0%	7.7%
5	Clearwater Paper Schedule 25P	6.5%	7.2%
c	Pumping Service Schedules 31/32	8.5%	8.8%
6	Street & Area Lights Schedules 41-48	<u>7.5%</u>	<u>7.5%</u>
7	Overall	<u>7.5%</u>	<u>7.9%</u>

- 8 This information is shown with more detail on page 1 of 9 Exhibit No. 16, Schedule 3.
- 10 Q. What is the Company's proposal related to the 11 current rebate customers are receiving in 2017?
- 12 Through rate Schedule 97, customers are receiving Α. 13 a rebate of \$0.00091 per kWh for 2017 (approximately \$2.7 14 million). This rebate rate was approved in the Company's 2015 15 general rate case, Case No. AVU-E-15-05. The rebate was 16 related to Avista's 2014 electric earnings sharing of 17 approximately \$5.6 million, of which approximately one-half was rebated to customers in 2016, and the remaining half 18 19 rebated in 2017.
- Avista deferred approximately \$1.5 million under the electric earnings sharing for calendar-year 2015. The Company is proposing in this case to use the \$1.5 million deferral balance from 2015 to replace approximately one-half of the current rebate in 2018. The Company has filed tariff sheet

- 1 Schedule 97 with revised language reflecting the new rebate. 1
- 2 The net effect for 2018 of the expiring rebate, offset by the
- 3 new rebate, is an increase in billed revenues (i.e., less of
- 4 a rebate) of approximately \$1.2 million.
- 5 Q. How did the Company spread the total 2018 general
- 6 revenue increase request of \$18,571,000 among its various rate
- 7 schedules?
- 8 A. The Company used the results of the electric cost
- 9 of service study (sponsored by Company witness Ms. Knox) as
- 10 a guide to spread the general increase. The spread of the
- 11 proposed increase generally results in the rates of return
- 12 for the various electric service schedules moving
- 13 approximately 15 percent closer to the overall rate of return
- 14 (unity). While we believe it is reasonable and appropriate to
- 15 use the cost of service study results as the basis for rate
- 16 spread, we have tempered the amount of movement toward unity
- 17 proposed in this case due primarily to the impact such
- 18 movement would have between the rate schedules. The Company
- 19 may propose additional movement toward unity in future
- 20 proceedings.
- 21 Table No. 5 below shows the relative rates of return
- 22 before and after application of the proposed general increase:

¹ Consistent with the provisions of Schedule 97, any over- or under-amortization of the \$1.5 million rebate would be trued up in a future PCA filed by the Company.

Tabl	e No.	5 - Present	& Proposed	Relative	Rates of Return	
Lan	C 110.	J - I I CSCIII	ca i i u puscu	itteianit	Makes of Metalli	

Present	Proposed
Relative	Relative
<u>ROR</u>	<u>ROR</u>
0.81	0.84
1.47	1.40
1.14	1.12
0.96	0.97
1.06	1.05
0.92	0.93
1.07	1.00
1.00	1.00
	Relative ROR 0.81 1.47 1.14 0.96 1.06 0.92 1.07

- 9 This information is shown in detail on Page 2, Schedule
- 10 3 of Exhibit No. 16.
- 11 Q. For 2019, what is the proposed electric revenue
- 12 increase, and how is the Company proposing to spread the
- increase by rate schedule?
- 14 A. For 2019, the proposed electric increase is
- 15 \$9,936,000, or 3.7% over base tariff rates. The proposed
- 16 general increase over billing rates, including all other rate
- 17 adjustments (such as DSM and Residential Exchange), is 4.2%.
- 18 The overall billed increase also reflects the expiration of
- 19 the 2015 earnings test rebate (proposed to be rebated in 2018)
- 20 discussed earlier in my testimony.
- 21 The Company used a pro-rata allocation of the Company's
- 22 2018 electric rate spread percentages for purposes of
- 23 spreading the proposed 2019 electric revenue increase to its
- 24 electric service schedules. The proposed percentage increase

1 by rate schedule is as follows:

Table No. 6 - Proposed % Electric Increase by Schedule - 2019

3		Increase in Base	Increase in
	Rate Schedule	Rates	Billing Rates
4	Residential Schedule 1	3.9%	4.3%
_	General Service Schedules 11/12	3.6%	4.0%
5	Large General Service Schedules 21/22	3.9%	4.4%
6	Extra Large General Service Schedule 25	3.5%	4.3%
O	Clearwater Paper Schedule 25P	3.3%	4.1%
7	Pumping Service Schedules 31/32	4.2%	4.6%
	Street & Area Lights Schedules 41-48	<u>3.7%</u>	3.8%
8	Overall	<u>3.7%</u>	<u>4.2%</u>

9 This information is shown with more detail on page 1 of 10 Exhibit No. 16, Schedule 3.

2.1

Proposed Rate Design

Q. Where in your Exhibit do you show a comparison of the present and proposed rates within each of the Company's electric service schedules?

A. Pages 3 (for 2018) and 4 (for 2019) of Schedule 3 in Exhibit No. 16 shows a comparison of the present and proposed rates within each of the schedules, which I will describe below. Column (a) shows the rate/billing components under each of the schedules, column (b) shows the present base tariff rates within each of the schedules, column (c) shows the present rate adjustments applicable under each schedule, and column (d) shows the present billing rates. Column (e) shows the proposed general rate increase to the rate

- 1 components within each of the schedules, column (f) shows the
- 2 proposed revenue changes under Schedule 97, column (g) shows
- 3 the proposed billing rates and column (h) shows the proposed
- 4 base tariff rates.
- 5 Q. Is the Company proposing any changes to the existing
- 6 rate structures within its rate schedules?
- 7 A. No. The Company is not proposing any changes to
- 8 the present rate structures within its electric schedules.
- 9 Q. Turning to Residential Service Schedule 1, could
- 10 you please describe the present rate structure under this
- 11 schedule?
- 12 A. Yes. Residential Schedule 1 has a present customer
- or basic charge of \$5.75 per month and two energy rate blocks:
- 14 0-600 kWhs and over 600 kWhs. The present base tariff rate
- for the first 600 kWhs per month is 8.449 cents per kWh and
- 16 9.434 cents for all kWhs over 600.
- 17 Q. How does the Company propose to spread Schedule 1's
- proposed 2018 general revenue increase of \$8,473,000 to the
- 19 rates within that schedule?
- 20 A. The Company proposes to increase the monthly
- 21 customer charge from \$5.75 per month to \$6.00 per month. The
- 22 remaining revenue increase for the schedule is proposed to be
- 23 recovered through a uniform percentage increase of
- 24 approximately 8.0% applied to the two energy block rates. The

- 1 proposed increase for the first 600 kWhs used per month under
- 2 the schedule is 0.677 cents per kWh, and an increase of 0.757
- 3 cents per kWh for usage over 600 kWhs per month.
- 4 Q. How does the Company propose to spread Schedule 1's
- 5 proposed 2019 general revenue increase of \$4,536,000 to the
- 6 rates within that schedule?
- 7 A. The Company proposes to keep the monthly customer
- 8 charge at \$6.00 per month. The revenue increase for the
- 9 schedule is proposed to be recovered through a uniform
- 10 percentage increase of approximately 4.1% applied to the two
- 11 energy block rates. The proposed increase for the first 600
- 12 kWhs used per month under the Schedule is 0.377 cents per kWh,
- and an increase of 0.420 cents per kWh for usage over 600 kWhs
- 14 per month.
- 15 Q. For 2018, what is the proposed increase for a
- 16 residential electric customer with average consumption?
- 17 A. The proposed increase for a residential customer
- 18 using an average of 910 kWhs per month is \$7.03 per month, or
- 19 an 8.1% increase in their electric bill. The present bill
- 20 for 910 kWhs is \$86.39 compared to the proposed level of
- 21 \$93.42, including all rate adjustments.
- Q. For 2019, what is the proposed increase for a
- 23 residential electric customer with average consumption?
- 24 A. The proposed increase for a residential customer

- 1 using an average of 910 kWhs per month is \$4.02 per month, or
- 2 a 4.3% increase in their electric bill, resulting in an
- 3 overall bill of \$97.44, including all rate adjustments.
- 4 Q. Turning to General Service Schedules 11/12, could
- 5 you please describe the present rate structure and rates under
- 6 those schedules?
- 7 A. Yes. General Service Schedules 11/12 are the
- 8 service schedules typically applicable to customers with an
- 9 average demand of less than 20 kW per month, such as small
- 10 retail establishments (Schedule 11), or shops for residential
- 11 customers which require a separate service (Schedule 12). The
- 12 present rate structure under the schedules includes a monthly
- 13 customer charge of \$12.00, an energy rate of 9.704 cents per
- 14 kWh for all usage up to 3,650 kWhs per month, and an energy
- rate of 7.216 cents per kWh for usage over 3,650 kWhs per
- 16 month. There is also a demand charge of \$5.75 per kW for all
- 17 demand in excess of 20 kW per month. There is no charge for
- 18 the first 20 kW of demand.
- 19 Q. How is the Company proposing to apply Schedule
- 20 11/12's proposed 2018 general revenue increase of \$2,681,000
- 21 to the rates within those schedules?
- 22 A. The Company is proposing that the customer charge
- 23 increase by \$1.00 per month, from \$12.00 to \$13.00. The
- 24 Company is also proposing that the variable demand rate

- 1 increase from \$5.75/kW to \$6.00/kW. The remaining revenue
- 2 increase for those schedules is proposed to be recovered
- 3 through a 0.785 cent per kWh, or 8.1%, increase to the first
- 4 energy block (the first 3,650 kWhs used per month), and a
- 5 0.293 cent per kWh, or 4.1%, increase to the second energy
- 6 block. The Company is proposing to increase the second energy
- 7 block by approximately one-half the percentage increase to
- 8 the first block in order to provide a more meaningful
- 9 separation between the blocks, and to ensure that the higher
- 10 load factor customers served on those schedules do not pay a
- 11 melded rate per kWh that is higher than customers with poor
- 12 load factors.
- 13 Q. How is the Company proposing to apply Schedule
- 14 11/12's proposed 2019 general revenue increase of \$1,433,000
- 15 to the rates within those schedules?
- 16 A. The revenue increase for the schedules is proposed
- 17 to be recovered through a 0.477 cent per kWh, or 4.6%,
- increase to the first energy block (the first 3,650 kWhs used
- 19 per month), and a 0.171 cent per kWh, or 2.3%, increase to
- 20 the second energy block. Similar to 2018, the Company is
- 21 proposing to increase the second block by one-half of the
- 22 proposed percentage increase to the first block in order to
- 23 provide a more meaningful separation between the blocks, and
- 24 to ensure that the higher load factor customers served on the

- schedules do not pay a melded rate per kWh that is higher than
- 2 customers with poor load factors.
- 3 Q. Turning to Large General Service Schedules 21/22,
- 4 would you please describe the present rate structure under
- 5 those schedules and how the Company is proposing to apply
- 6 Schedule 21/22's 2018 increase of \$4,048,000 to the rates
- 7 within the schedules?
- 8 A. Yes. Large General Service Schedules 21/22 are the
- 9 service schedules applicable to customers with monthly
- demands over 50 kW, but less than 3,000 kW. Typical customers
- 11 served are grocery stores, schools, and office buildings
- 12 (Schedule 21) and retirement homes and other qualified
- 13 residential load (Schedule 22).
- 14 These schedules consist of a minimum monthly charge of
- 15 \$400.00 for the first 50 kW or less, a demand charge of \$5.25
- 16 per kW for monthly demand in excess of 50 kW, and two energy
- 17 block rates: 6.322 cents per kWh for the first 250,000 kWhs
- 18 per month, and 5.396 cents per kWh for all usage in excess of
- 19 250,000 kWhs.
- The Company is proposing to increase the present minimum
- 21 demand charge (for the first 50 kW or less) by \$25 per month,
- from \$400.00 to \$425.00, and increase the demand charge from
- 23 \$5.25/kW to \$5.50/kW. The remaining revenue increase for the
- 24 schedules is proposed to be recovered through a uniform

- 1 percentage increase of approximately 8.4% applied to the two
- 2 energy block rates. The proposed increase for the first
- 3 250,000 kWhs used per month under the schedules is 0.533 cents
- 4 per kWh, and an increase of 0.455 cents per kWh for usage over
- 5 250,000 kWhs per month.
- 6 Q. Would you please describe how the Company is
- 7 proposing to apply Schedule 21/22's 2019 increase of
- 8 \$2,165,000 to the rates within the schedule?
- 9 A. Yes. The revenue increase for the schedules is
- 10 proposed to be recovered through a uniform percentage increase
- of approximately 4.9% applied to the two energy block rates.
- 12 The proposed increase for the first 250,000 kWhs used per
- 13 month under the schedules is 0.338 cents per kWh, and an
- increase of 0.289 cents per kWh for usage over 250,000 kWhs
- 15 per month.
- 16 Q. Turning to Extra Large General Service Schedule 25,
- 17 would you please describe the present rate structure under
- 18 that schedule, and how the Company is proposing to apply
- 19 Schedule 25's 2018 increase of \$1,391,000 to the rates within
- 20 the schedule?
- 21 A. Yes. Schedule 25 is applicable for customers with
- 22 demands in excess of 3,000 kVa per month, such as large
- 23 industrial customers and universities. Extra Large General
- 24 Service Schedule 25 consists of a minimum monthly charge of

- 1 \$13,500 for the first 3,000 kVa or less, a demand charge of
- 2 \$4.75 per kVa for monthly demand in excess of 3,000 kVa, and
- 3 two energy block rates: 5.299 cents per kWh for the first
- 4 500,000 kWhs per month and 4.487 cents per kWh for all usage
- 5 in excess of 500,000 kWhs.
- 6 The Company is proposing that the present minimum demand
- 7 charge of \$13,500 be increased by \$500 to \$14,000 per month.
- 8 Further, the Company is proposing to increase the volumetric
- 9 demand charge from \$4.75/kVA to \$5.00/kVA. The remaining
- 10 revenue increase for the schedule is proposed to be recovered
- 11 through a uniform percentage increase of approximately 7.4%
- 12 applied to the two energy block rates. The proposed energy
- 13 rate increase for the first 500,000 kWhs used per month is
- 14 0.395 cents per kWh and the increase for usage over 500,000
- per month is 0.334 cents per kWh.
- 16 Q. Would you please describe how the Company is
- proposing to apply Schedule 25's 2019 increase of \$743,000 to
- 18 the rates within the schedule?
- 19 A. Yes. The revenue increase for the schedule is
- 20 proposed to be recovered through a uniform percentage increase
- of approximately 4.2% applied to the two energy block rates.
- 22 The proposed energy rate increase for the first 500,000 kWhs
- 23 used per month is 0.238 cents per kWh and the increase for
- usage over 500,000 per month is 0.201 cents per kWh.

- Q. Please describe the service the Company provides to Clearwater Paper's Lewiston Plant under Schedule 25P.
- A. In Commission Order No. 32841, dated June 28, 2013,
- 4 the Commission approved a five-year Electric Service
- 5 Agreement (Agreement) between Avista and Clearwater,
- 6 applicable to its Lewiston Plant. The Agreement became
- 7 effective July 1, 2013 and expires June 30, 2021. 2 The
- 8 Agreement provides for Clearwater to use its on-site
- 9 generation to serve its own load, and for Clearwater to
- 10 purchase from Avista all of the electric power requirements
- 11 that exceed the electric power generated by Clearwater.
- 12 Avista serves Clearwater's load requirements under Schedule
- 13 25P.
- 14 Q. Please describe the application of the proposed
- 15 Schedule 25P 2018 increase of \$1,238,000 to the rates within
- 16 the schedule.
- 17 A. Like Schedule 25, the Company is proposing that the
- present minimum demand charge of \$13,500 be increased by \$500
- 19 to \$14,000 per month. Further, the Company is proposing to
- 20 increase the volumetric demand charge from \$4.75/kVA to
- \$5.00/kVA\$ for all kVA between 3,000 and 55,000, and from

 $^{^2}$ On July 30, 2015 the Commission approved (Order No. 33350) a Joint Petition between Avista and Clearwater which, among other things, gave approval of a contract amendment which would extend the length of the original contract from June 30, 2018 to June 30, 2021 (Case No. AVU-E-15-06).

- 1 \$2.25/kVA to \$2.50/kVA for all kVA over 55,000. The remaining
- 2 revenue increase for the schedule is proposed to be recovered
- 3 through an increase of 0.278 cents per kWh to the energy
- 4 charge.
- 5 Q. Please describe the application of the proposed
- 6 Schedule 25P 2019 increase of \$663,000 to the rates within
- 7 the schedule.
- 8 A. The revenue increase for the schedule is proposed
- 9 to be recovered through an increase of 0.183 cents per kWh to
- 10 the energy charge.
- 11 Q. Turning to Pumping Schedules 31/32, would you
- 12 please describe how the Company is proposing to apply Schedule
- 13 31/32's 2018 increase of \$468,000 to the rates within the
- 14 schedules?
- 15 A. The Company is proposing that the customer charge
- 16 of \$10.00 per month be increased by \$1.00, to \$11.00 per
- 17 month, and that the remaining revenue increase be spread on
- 18 a uniform percentage basis of approximately 8.5% to the two
- 19 energy rate blocks under the schedules. The proposed increase
- in the first block rate is 0.813 cents per kWh and the increase
- 21 in the second block rate is 0.693 cents per kwh.
- 22 Q. Please describe how the Company is proposing to
- 23 apply Schedule 31/32's 2019 increase of \$251,000 to the rates
- 24 within the schedules.

- 1 A. The Company is proposing that the revenue increase
- 2 be spread on a uniform percentage basis of approximately 4.4%
- 3 to the two energy rate blocks under the schedules. The
- 4 proposed increase in the first block rate is 0.454 cents per
- 5 kWh, and the increase in the second block rate is 0.387 cents
- 6 per kwh.
- 7 Q. How is the Company proposing to spread the proposed
- 8 2018 revenue increase of \$272,000 applicable to Street and
- 9 Area Light (Schedules 41-49)?
- 10 A. The Company proposes to increase present street and
- 11 area light (base) rates on a uniform percentage basis. The
- 12 proposed increase for all lighting rates is 7.5%. The (base
- 13 tariff) rates are shown in the tariffs for those schedules,
- 14 in Exhibit No. 16, Schedule 2.
- 15 Q. How is the Company proposing to spread the proposed
- 16 2019 revenue increase of \$145,000 applicable to Street and
- 17 Area Light (Schedules 41-49)?
- 18 A. The Company proposes to increase present street and
- 19 area light (base) rates on a uniform percentage basis. The
- 20 proposed increase for all lighting rates is 3.7%. The (base
- 21 tariff) rates are shown in the tariffs for those schedules,
- 22 in Exhibit No. 16, Schedule 2.
- 23 Q. Is the Company proposing any other changes to its
- 24 Street and Area Light schedules?

1 Yes. For Schedule 42 (Company-owned street lights) Α. 2 and Schedule 49 (Area Lighting), the Company is proposing that High Pressure Sodium Vapor ("HPS") lights should no longer be 3 made available for new installations. As discussed by Company 4 5 witness Ms. Rosentrater, the Company is currently converting 6 its Company-owned street and area lights from HPS to LED technology over a five-year period. With a change in the 8 Company's standards to only support LED street and area light 9 technology, Avista is proposing to remove HPS as an option for new customer installations.3 10 11 In addition, in the Company's 2015 general rate case (Case No. AVU-E-15-05), the Commission approved the Company's 12 "Custom Street Light Calculation" contained in Schedule 42, 13 "Company Owned Street Light Service - Idaho". Schedule 42 is 14 15 applicable to local, state, or federal governments for purposes of lighting public streets and thoroughfares. 16 17 Company also provides similar lighting under Schedule 49, 18 "Area Light - Idaho". Lighting options under this schedule 19 are similar to the lighting options under Schedule 42, with 20 the only exception being that area lights are not used to light streets or thoroughfares, but rather yards, alleys, and 21

parks, for example. As mentioned, Schedule 42 contains a

 $^{^3}$ There may be circumstances where an <u>existing</u> customer (an existing street/area light) requires a HPS light and cannot support an LED light. In those circumstances the customer would continue to receive HPS service under Schedule 42 or 49.

- 1 "Custom Street Light Calculation", as customers over time have
- 2 requested lighting options that in some cases are not in the
- 3 Company's tariff. This custom calculation allows Avista to
- 4 calculate a rate for such a light in between rate cases. In
- 5 this case, the Company has included the same custom
- 6 calculation in Schedule 49 for the same reasons the Company
- 7 added it to Schedule 42 several years ago i.e., customers
- 8 have requested lighting options that are not already existing
- 9 in Schedule 49.4
- 10 Q. Turning now to the Company's Electric Fixed Cost
- 11 Adjustment Mechanism, how will new baseline information be
- incorporated into the mechanism?
- 13 A. As in the prior general rate case, the Company
- 14 would, as a part of its Compliance Filing, submit the final
- 15 baseline values for its Fixed Cost Adjustment Mechanism (for
- 16 both 2018 and 2019) prior to new rates going into effect as
- 17 a result of this general rate case.⁵

_

⁴ To determine the rate for a new light option, the capital cost, maintenance expense, and energy costs need to be determined. As shown in the proposed revisions to Schedule 47, the capital cost calculation is the same as what is provided for in Schedule 42. The maintenance cost would be based on an engineering estimate of the maintenance cost of a new fixture. Finally, the energy rate calculation is the same as what is provided for in Schedule 46 (the energy-only street light tariff).

⁵ The Company's Electric and Natural Gas Fixed Cost Adjustment mechanisms were approved with an initial three year term, which expires December 31, 2018. Per the terms of the mechanisms, the Company may seek to extend the mechanisms prior to their expiration. While the Company would provide the baseline values for the mechanisms for 2019 in any compliance filing in this general rate case, Avista understands that it must receive Commission approval to continue the mechanisms in 2019 and beyond.

1	TTT	PROPOSED	NATITRAT.	CAS	REVENUE	TNCREASE
⊥.		FIGEORED	MATOMA	GAD		THCKENDE

- 2 Q. Would you please explain what is contained in
- 3 Schedule 4 of Exhibit No. 16?
- 4 A. Yes. Schedule 4 of Exhibit No. 16 is a copy of the
- 5 Company's present and proposed natural gas tariffs for 2018
- 6 and 2019, showing the changes (strikeout and underline)
- 7 proposed in this filing.
- 8 Q. Would you please describe what is contained in
- 9 Schedule 5 of Exhibit No. 16?
- 10 A. Schedule 5 of Exhibit No. 16 contains the proposed
- 11 (clean) natural gas tariff sheets for 2018 and 2019
- incorporating the proposed changes included in this filing.
- 13 Q. Would you please explain what is contained in
- 14 Schedule 6 of Exhibit No. 16?
- 15 A. Schedule 6 of Exhibit No. 16 contains information
- 16 regarding the proposed spread of the natural gas revenue
- 17 increase among the service schedules and the proposed changes
- 18 to the rates within the schedules. Page 1 shows the proposed
- 19 general revenue and percentage increase by rate schedule. Page
- 20 2 shows the rates of return and the relative rates of return
- 21 for each of the schedules before and after the proposed 2018
- 22 increase. Pages 3 and 4 show the present rates under each of
- 23 the rate schedules, the proposed changes to the rates within
- 24 the schedules, and the proposed rates after application of

- 1 the 2018 and 2019 rate changes. These pages will be referred
- 2 to later in my testimony.

4

Summary of Natural Gas Rate Schedules and Tariffs

- 5 Q. Would you please review the Company's present rate
- 6 schedules and the types of natural gas service offered under
- 7 each?
- 8 A. Yes. The Company's present Schedules 101 and 111
- 9 offer firm sales service. Schedule 101 generally applies to
- 10 residential and small commercial customers who use less than
- 11 200 therms/month. Schedule 111 is generally for customers
- 12 who consistently use over 200 therms/month and Schedule 131
- 13 provides interruptible sales service to customers whose
- 14 annual requirements exceed 250,000 therms. Schedule 146
- 15 provides transportation/distribution service for customer-
- 16 owned natural gas for customers whose annual requirements
- 17 exceed 250,000 therms.
- 18 Q. The Company also has rate Schedules 112 and 132 on
- 19 file with the Commission. Would you please explain which
- 20 customers are eligible for service under these schedules?
- 21 A. Yes. Schedules 112 and 132 are in place to provide
- 22 service to customers who at one time were provided service
- 23 under Transportation Service Schedule 146. The rates under
- these schedules are the same as those under Schedules 111 and

- 1 131 respectively, except for the application of Temporary Gas
- 2 Rate Adjustment Schedule 155. Schedule 155 is a temporary
- 3 rate adjustment used to amortize the deferred natural gas
- costs approved by the Commission in the prior Purchased Gas 4
- 5 Cost Adjustment ("PGA") filing. Because of their size,
- 6 transportation service customers are analyzed individually to
- determine their appropriate share of deferred natural gas
- 8 costs. If those customers switch back to sales service, the
- 9 Company continues to analyze those customers individually;
- 10 otherwise, those customers would receive natural gas cost
- 11 deferrals which are not due them; thus the need for Schedules
- 12 112 and 132. There is only one customer served under these
- schedules as of December 31, 2016. 13
- 14 How many customers does the Company serve under each Q.
- 15 of its natural gas rate schedules in Idaho?
- As of December 31, 2016, the Company provided 16
- 17 service to the following number of customers under each of
- 18 its schedules in Idaho:

19 Table No. 7 - Customers by Service Schedule

20	Rate Schedule	No. of Customers
21	General Service Schedule 101	79,729
21	Large General Service Schedules 111/112	1,408
22	Interruptible Sales Service Schedules 131/132	0
	Transportation Service Schedule 146	6
23	-	

24

- Is the Company proposing any changes to the present 1 Q. rate structures within its natural gas service schedules? 2
- The Company is not proposing any changes to 3
- the present rate structures within its natural gas schedules. 4

6

Proposed Rate Spread

- 7 0. For 2018, what is the proposed natural gas revenue
- increase, and how is the Company proposing to spread the 8
- 9 increase by rate schedule?
- For 2018, the proposed base revenue increase is 10
- 11 \$3,480,000, or 8.8% in base margin⁶ revenue (on a billed
- 12 revenue basis, the increase is 5.7%). The proposed percentage

13 increase by rate schedule is as follows:

14 Table No. 8 - Proposed % Natural Gas Increase by Schedule - 2018

15

16		Increase in	Increase in
	Rate Schedule	Margin Rates	Billing Rates
17	General Service Schedule 101	9.8%	6.6%
18	Large General Service Schedules 111/112	4.1%	2.2%
10	Interrupt. Sales Service Schedules 131/132	0.0%	0.0%
19	Transportation Service Schedule 146*	8.8%	<u>9.2%</u>
	Overall	<u>8.8%</u>	<u>5.7%</u>

²⁰ * excludes commodity and interstate pipeline transportation costs

⁶ Base margin revenue refers to the base revenue associated with the Company's ownership and operation of its natural gas distribution operations. It is the revenue related to delivering natural gas to customers, and does not include the cost of natural gas, upstream thirdparty owned transportation, or the effect of other tariffs.

- Q. Is the proposed <u>billing</u> percentage increase for
- 2 Transportation Schedule 146 comparable to the increase for the
- 3 other service schedules?
- 4 A. No. The proposed billing percentage increase for
- 5 Transportation Schedule 146 is not comparable to the proposed
- 6 increases for the other (sales) service schedules, as Schedule
- 7 146 revenue does not include an amount for the cost of natural
- 8 gas or upstream pipeline transportation. Transportation
- 9 customers acquire their own natural gas and pipeline
- 10 transportation. Including an estimate of 35.0 cents per therm
- 11 for the cost of natural gas and pipeline transportation, the
- 12 proposed increase to Schedule 146 rates represents an average
- 13 increase of 2.4% (2018) and 1.4% (2019) in those customers'
- 14 total natural gas bill.
- 15 Q. What information did the Company use to develop the
- 16 proposed spread of the overall 2018 increase to the various
- 17 rate schedules?
- 18 A. The Company used the results of the cost of service
- 19 study (sponsored by Company witness Mr. Miller) as a guide to
- 20 spread the natural gas general increase. The spread of the
- 21 proposed increase generally results in the rates of return
- 22 for the various service schedules moving approximately one-
- 23 third closer to the overall rate of return (unity). The
- 24 relative rates of return before and after application of the

1 proposed 2018 increase by schedule are as follows:

Table No. 9 - Present & Proposed Relative Rates of Return

2		Present	Proposed
3		Relative	Relative
4	Rate Schedule	<u>ROR</u>	<u>ROR</u>
-	General Service Schedule 101	0.86	0.91
5	Large General Service Schedules 111/112	1.71	1.45
	Interruptible Sales Service Schedules 131/132	1.00	1.00
6	Transportation Service Schedule 146	1.17	1.10
7	Overall	1.00	1.00
,			

- Page 2 of Exhibit No. 16, Schedule 6 shows this
- 9 information in more detail.

- 10 Q. For 2019, what is the proposed natural gas revenue
- 11 increase, and how is the Company proposing to spread the
- 12 increase by rate schedule?
- 13 A. For 2019, the proposed base revenue increase is
- 14 \$2,137,000, or 5.0% in base margin revenue (on a billed
- revenue basis, the increase is 3.3%).
- 16 The Company used a pro-rata allocation of the Company's
- 17 2018 natural gas rate spread percentages for purposes of
- 18 spreading the proposed 2019 natural gas revenue increase to
- 19 its natural gas service schedules. Below is a table showing
- 20 the effect of the Company's 2019 proposed natural gas increase
- 21 by rate schedule:

_	
′)	
_	

0		Increase in	Increase in
3	Rate Schedule	Margin Rates	Billing Rates
4	General Service Schedule 101	5.5%	3.8%
-	Large General Service Schedules 111/112	2.4%	1.3%
5	Interrupt. Sales Service Schedules 131/132	0.0%	0.0%
	Transportation Service Schedule 146*	4.8%	<u>5.0%</u>
6	Overall	<u>5.0%</u>	<u>3.3%</u>

^{*} excludes commodity and interstate pipeline transportation costs

8 This information is also shown on page 1 of Exhibit No.

9 16, Schedule 6.

10

11

7

Proposed Rate Design

- 12 Q. Would you please explain the present rate design
- 13 within each of the Company's present natural gas service
- 14 schedules?
- 15 A. Yes. General Service Schedule 101 generally applies
- 16 to residential and small commercial customers who use less
- 17 than 200 therms/month. The schedule contains a single rate
- 18 per therm for all natural gas usage and a monthly
- 19 customer/basic charge.
- 20 Large General Service Schedule 111 has a four-tier
- 21 declining-block rate structure and is generally for customers
- 22 who consistently use over 200 therms/month, such as schools,
- 23 restaurants, and office buildings. The schedule consists of
- 24 a monthly minimum charge plus a usage charge for the first

- 1 200 therms or less, and block rates for 201-1,000
- therms/month, 1001-10,000 therms/month and usage over 10,000
- 3 therms/month.
- 4 Interruptible Sales Service Schedule 131 contains a
- 5 single rate per therm for all natural gas usage. The schedule
- 6 also has an annual minimum (deficiency) charge based on a
- 7 usage requirement of 250,000 therms per year. As required by
- 8 tariff, customers served on this schedule are required to have
- 9 standby facilities with an alternate fuel.
- 10 Transportation Service Schedule 146 contains a \$225 per
- 11 month customer charge and contains a single rate per therm
- 12 for all natural gas usage. The schedule also has an annual
- 13 minimum (deficiency) charge based on a usage requirement of
- 14 250,000 therms per year.
- Q. Where in your Exhibit No. 16 do you show the present
- 16 and proposed rates for the Company's natural gas service
- 17 schedules?
- 18 A. Pages 3 and 4 of Schedule 6 shows the present and
- 19 proposed rates under each of the rate schedules, including
- 20 all present rate adjustments (adders) for the 2018 and 2019
- 21 rate changes. Column (e) on those pages show the proposed
- 22 changes to the rates contained in each of the schedules.
- Q. How does the Company propose to spread Schedule
- 24 101's proposed 2018 general revenue increase of \$3,166,000 to

1 the rates within that schedule?

- 2 A. The Company proposes to increase the monthly
- 3 customer charge from \$5.25 per month to \$6.00 per month. The
- 4 remaining revenue is proposed to be recovered through an
- 5 increase of 4.293 cents per therm. This is shown in column
- 6 (e), page 3, Schedule 6 of Exhibit No. 16.
- 7 Q. How does the Company propose to spread Schedule
- 8 101's proposed 2019 general revenue increase of \$1,945,000 to
- 9 the rates within that schedule?
- 10 A. The Company proposes to keep the monthly customer
- 11 charge at \$6.00 per month. The revenue increase for the
- 12 schedule would be recovered through a 6.5% increase in the
- 13 volumetric energy rate. This is shown in column (e), page 4,
- 14 Schedule 6 of Exhibit No. 16.
- 15 Q. For 2018, what is the proposed monthly increase for
- 16 a residential natural gas customer with average usage?
- 17 A. The increase for a residential customer using an
- 18 average of 61 therms of natural gas per month would be \$3.37
- 19 per month, or 6.6%. A bill for 61 therms per month would
- 20 increase from the present level of \$51.10 to a proposed level
- 21 of \$54.47.
- 22 Q. For 2019, what is the proposed monthly increase for
- 23 a residential natural gas customer with average usage?
- 24 A. The increase for a residential customer using an

- 1 average of 61 therms of natural gas per month would be \$2.07
- 2 per month, or 3.8%, resulting in an overall bill of \$56.54,
- 3 including all rate adjustments.
- 4 Q. Would you please explain the proposed changes in the
- 5 rates for Large General Service Schedules 111?
- A. Yes. The present rates for Schedules 101 and 111
- 7 provide guidance for customer placement: customers who
- 8 generally use less than 200 therms/month should be placed on
- 9 Schedule 101, customers who consistently use over 200 therms
- 10 per month should be placed on Schedule 111. Not only do the
- 11 rates provide guidance for customer schedule placement, they
- 12 provide a reasonable classification of customers for
- 13 analyzing the costs of providing service.
- 14 The proposed 2018 increase to the minimum charge for
- 15 Schedule 111 (for 200 therms or less) of \$9.34 per month is
- 16 a function of the basic charge increase under Schedule 101 as
- 17 well as the change in the Schedule 101 variable rate. This
- 18 methodology maintains the present relationship between the
- 19 schedules, and will minimize customer shifting. The remaining
- 20 revenue requirement for the schedule is proposed to be
- 21 recovered through a uniform percentage increase of
- 22 approximately 2.4% to blocks 2, 3 and 4.
- The proposed 2019 increase to the Schedule 111 minimum
- 24 charge for Schedule 111 (for 200 therms or less) is \$6.79 per

- 1 month. The remaining revenue requirement for the schedule is
- 2 proposed to be recovered through a uniform percentage increase
- 3 of approximately 1.1% to blocks 2, 3 and 4.
- 4 Q. Did the Company propose a revenue increase for
- 5 Schedules 131/132?
- 6 A. No customers are presently served on these
- 7 schedules. However, given that customers could decide to take
- 8 service on these schedules in the future, the Company does
- 9 propose to increase the volumetric rates for this schedule by
- 10 the same overall percentage increases proposed for 2018 and
- 11 2019. Proposing to increase the base rates for these schedules
- 12 will better reflect cost of service should customers decide to
- 13 choose these rate schedules in the future.
- 14 Q. How is the Company proposing to spread the proposed
- 15 2018 increase of \$35,000 to the rates under Transportation
- 16 **Schedule 146?**
- 17 A. The Company is proposing to increase monthly Basic
- 18 Charge from \$225 per month to \$250 per month. The remaining
- 19 revenue requirement would be recovered through an increase of
- 20 1.111 cents to the per-therm rate.
- 21 Q. How is the Company proposing to spread the proposed
- 22 2019 increase of \$21,000 to the rates under Transportation
- 23 **Schedule 146?**
- A. The Company is proposing to increase the per therm

- 1 charge under the schedule by 0.691 cents per therm.
- 2 Q. Is the Company proposing any other changes to its
- 3 natural gas service schedules?
- 4 A. No, it is not.
- 5 Q. Turning now to the Company's Natural Gas Fixed Cost
- 6 Adjustment Mechanism, how will new baseline information be
- 7 incorporated into the mechanism?
- 8 A. As in the prior general rate case, the Company
- 9 would, as a part of its Compliance Filing, submit the final
- 10 baseline values for its Fixed Cost Adjustment Mechanism (for
- 11 both 2018 and 2019) prior to new rates going into effect as
- 12 a result of this general rate case.
- 13 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed, direct
- 14 testimony?

15 A. Yes, it does.

.

⁷ The Company's Electric and Natural Gas Fixed Cost Adjustment mechanisms were approved with an initial three year term, which expires December 31, 2018. Per the terms of the mechanisms, the Company may seek to extend the mechanisms prior to their expiration. While the Company would provide the baseline values for the mechanisms for 2019 in any compliance filing in this general rate case, Avista understands that it must receive Commission approval to continue the mechanisms in 2019 and beyond.